

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:09-CR-00261-PMP-RJJ
8 Plaintiff,)
9 vs.) **ORDER**
10 NATHAN DENT,)
11 Defendant.)
12 _____)

13 On December 31, 2009, the Honorable Robert J. Johnston United States
14 Magistrate Judge, entered a Report and Recommendation (Doc. #54) recommending
15 that Defendant's Motion to Suppress (Doc. #25) be denied.

16 On January 4, 2010, Defendant filed an Objection to Magistrate Judge
17 Johnston's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #55). To which Plaintiff United
18 States filed a Response (Doc. #57) filed January 6, 2010. On January 8, 2010, the
19 Court heard argument from counsel regarding Defendant's objections to the Report
20 and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

21 In accord with provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local
22 Rule IB 3-2 the Court has conducted a de novo review of the proceedings before
23 Magistrate Judge Johnston relating to Defendant's motion to suppress. The Court
24 finds that Defendant's objection (Doc. #55) should be overruled and the
25 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Johnston (Doc. #54) should be Affirmed.

26 ///

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendant Nathan Dent's
2 Objection (Doc. #55) is Overruled and Magistrate Judge Johnston's Report and
3 Recommendation (Doc. #54) is Affirmed and Defendant Dent's Motion to Suppress
4 (Doc. #25) is DENIED.

5
6 DATED: January 14, 2010.

7 

8
9 _____
 PHILIP M. PRO
 United States District Judge